

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/791,699	03/04/2004	Robertus H. P. F. Schaap	SCHAAP1	5119
	1444	7590 08/24/2006 'AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.		EXAMINER	
				JACKSON, ANDRE L	
	624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3677	
				DATE MAILED: 08/24/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/791,699	SCHAAP ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andre' L. Jackson	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	March 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-6,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3,7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/19/2004. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/791,699

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 1 recites the limitations "the first curved tube" and " the curved part tube" in lines 6-8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,699 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 6,189,906 to Horacek. Horacek discloses a frame tubing (1a, 1b) of a wheel chair, the framing tubing comprising a curved tube (15) for being attached to the frame tubing of the wheel chair and a curved part (12), the curved part having a first portion with a curvature being identical to the curvature of the curved tube, and a cross section allowing the first portion of the curved part to fit inside the curved tube, and a second portion (21) with a wheel seat (7) for mounting a front wheel fork (4) of the wheel chair.

As to claims 4-6 and 9, a load path is provided between the first portion of the curved part and the curved tube as seen in Fig. 5. Further, a securing arrangement is provided that fastens the curved tube with respect to the curved part, the securement includes a sliding element (14), with threaded bores (16), screws (9), and a mounting piece (14a, 14a). Further, the arrangement comprises nuts (20) and conical parts (18, 19) inside bores (17) of the curved tube.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 6,196,571 to Chen et al. Chen et al discloses a stroller, the stroller including a pair of front wheel supports (10), the front wheel supports comprising a curved tube (wheel guard sleeve of Fig. 1)

for being attached to the frame of the stroller and a curved part (curved end of front wheel supports; **dotted lines**), the curved part having a first portion with a curvature being identical to the curvature of the curved tube, and a cross section allowing the first portion of the curved part to fit inside the curved tube, and a second portion with a wheel seat (front wheel connection end) for mounting a front wheel fork of the stroller.

As to claims 4 and 5, a load path is provided between the first portion of the curved part and the curved tube as seen in Fig. 1. Further, a securing arrangement is provided that fastens the curved tube with respect to the curved part.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3, 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Additional references are cited on the PTO 892 form but were not used to determine patentability of this application instead the references gave background information on wheeled caster frames and/or vehicle frame assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre' L. Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7067. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (10 am - 6:30 pm).

Art Unit: 3677

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

André L. Jackson Patent Examiner AU 3677

ALJ

PRIMARY EXAMINER